1	Jeremy J. Taylor (SBN 249075)	JEFFREY J. TONEY (pro hac vice)
2 3 4	jeremy.taylor@bakerbotts.com	RALPH E. GASKINS (pro hac vice)
	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.	JACKIE L. TONEY (pro hac vice)
	101 California Street, Suite 3600	PAUL G. WILLIAMS (pro hac vice)
	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 291-6200	HALA S. MOURAD (pro hac vice)
	Fax: (415) 291-6200	KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP
	Tux. (113) 251 0500	1349 West Peachtree Street NW, Suite 1500
5	Bryant C. Boren, Jr. (SBN 260602)	Atlanta, Ga 30309
	bryant.c.boren@bakerbotts.com	Telephone: (404) 260-6080
6	Elizabeth K. Boggs (SBN 280555)	Facsimile: (404) 260-6081
7	betsy.boggs@bakerbotts.com	jtoney@kasowitz.com
7	John F. Gaustad (SBN 279893)	rgaskins@kasowitz.com
8	john.gaustad@bakerbotts.com Keith M. Jurek (SBN 310856)	jatoney@kasowitz.com
	keith.jurek@bakerbotts.com	pwilliams@kasowitz.com
9	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.	hmourad@kasowitz.com
	1001 Page Mill Road	iiiiourad@kasowitz.com
10 11 12	Building One, Suite 200	I VND ACDE (CDN 179219)
	Palo Alto, California 94304	LYN R. AGRE (SBN 178218) MARGARET A. ZIEMIANEK (SBN
	Phone: (650) 739-7500	`
	Fax: (650) 739-7699	233418)
	Jennifer C. Tempesta (admitted pro hac vice)	KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP
13	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.	101 California Street, Suite 2300
	30 Rockefeller Plaza	San Francisco, California 94111
14	New York, NY 10112	Telephone: (415) 421-6140
15	Phone: (212) 408-2500	Facsimile: (415) 398-5030
	Fax: (212) 408-2501	lagre@kasowitz.com
1.6		mziemianek@kasowitz.com
16	Attorneys for Defendant LYFT, INC.	A DI LA COMPEAND INC
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff RIDEAPP, INC.
- '	LINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
18		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
		ND DIVISION
19		
20		
20	RIDEAPP, INC.	Case No. 18-CV-07152-JST
21	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATED [PROPOSED] FINAL
	V.	JUDGMENT
22	v.	
22		
23	LYFT, INC.	
24		
27	Defendant.	
25		
26		
27		
27		
28		
20		

Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Joint Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment (the "Stipulation") between Plaintiff RideApp, Inc. ("RideApp") and Defendant Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft"), the Court hereby directs entry of final judgment in conformity with the Court's October 16, 2019 Claim Construction Order (ECF No. 117) (the "Order") as follows:

- The Court hereby enters, in favor of Lyft, Final Judgment of invalidity of Claims 2,
 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,730 for the reasons stated in the Order (ECF No. 117).
- The Court dismisses without prejudice any remaining affirmative defenses raised by Lyft. These defenses shall be reinstated in the event this Judgment is reversed, in whole or in part.
- 3. The Court dismisses as moot Lyft's Motion to Dismiss RideApp, Inc.'s Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 89). In the event this Judgment is reversed, in whole or in part, Lyft may again raise—in a motion to dismiss or otherwise—any argument that Claims 2, 3, and 6 are invalid and/or unenforceable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The Court dismisses as moot any other pending motions.
- 4. This Judgment dismisses with prejudice all claims brought by RideApp, and it is ordered that RideApp recover nothing by its claims against Lyft.
- 5. This Judgment denies any other requested relief not granted in this judgment with the exception of any application or motion for costs and/or attorneys' fees filed by Lyft. The Court retains jurisdiction to hear any application or motion for costs and/or attorneys' fees filed by Lyft pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54, Local Rules 54-1 through 54-5, and any other applicable statute or rule.
- 6. This Judgment and the Stipulation are without any waiver of, or prejudice to, RideApp's or Lyft's rights to challenge the Order on appeal and to appeal this Judgment on any ground relating to the Order.

///

Case 4:18-cv-07152-JST Document 121 Filed 10/31/19 Page 3 of 3

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

7. This Final Judgment resolves all claims between all parties and is therefore appealable.

Dated: October 31, 2019

JON S. TIGAR United States District Judge